

RECEIVED

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:  
RICHARD D. CONARD  
BARNES & THORNBURG  
11 SOUTH MERIDIAN STREET  
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204

**DOCKETED**  
**FOR** COMMENTS Due  
**BY** [Signature]  
**DATE** 12/8/04  
**CH'D BY** \_\_\_\_\_  
**DATE** \_\_\_\_\_

**PCT** DEC 08 2004  
BARNES & THORNBURG

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing  
(day/month/year)

**03 DEC 2004**

Applicant's or agent's file reference

7175-74887

**FOR FURTHER ACTION**

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

PCT/US04/10808

International filing date (day/month/year)

08 April 2004 (08.04.2004)

Priority date (day/month/year)

11 April 2003 (11.04.2003)

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

IPC(7): A61H 23/04, 1/00, 5/00 and US Cl.: 601/152

Applicant

HILL-ROM SERVICES, INC.

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

## 2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

Danton DeMille

Telephone No. (571) 272-3700

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/US04/10808

**Box No. I Basis of this opinion**

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

☐ a sequence listing

☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

☐ in written format

☐ in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

☐ contained in international application as filed.

☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.  
PCT/US04/10808

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

**1. Statement**

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>1-104</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims <u>NONE</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1-104</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-104</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

**2. Citations and explanations:**

Claims 1-104 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Bock et al. in view of Vrzalik et al.

Bock teaches a compression module removably attached to a patient support apparatus using a bracket 38 to connect to the siderail or from the footboard. It would be obvious to be able to attach the compression module to any desired location on the bed. Vrzalik teaches that compression modules can be removeably attached to the patient support system within a recess in the footboard of the bed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Bock to mount the compression module within a recess in the bed as taught by Vrzalik as a way to more securely fasten the compression module to the bed. There is no unobviousness to where the location of the recess is located. In the foot of the bed or in the siderail or head of the bed would have been obvious equivalent locations for the compression module.